

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,636	03/29/2002	Peter Hamberger	111717 8113		
75	90 06/21/2005		EXAMINER		
Oliff & Berrio			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			1772		
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

9	N			
9	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/030,636	HAMBERGER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alexander Thomas	1772		

Belore the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander Thomas	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 						
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	•	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2,3 and 5-7.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a North day and day the affiday and the affiday are as the affiday are as the affiday are t	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	alachour	ely S. Ma	uen			
	ALEXA	NDER S. THOMAS ARY EXAMINER				

Application No. 10/030,636

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The phrases "having tongue and groove joint surfaces ... thereof", "having the impregnation applied thereon b rolling or spreading on application" and the subject matter of proposed claims 12, 13 and 15 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.